# CERME12 PAPER REVIEW FORM 1 – empirical Study

### For Theoretical/Philosophical Papers, please go to the second version of this form, below.

UPLOAD TO THE ConfTool SYSTEM ([www.conftool.pro/cerme12](http://www.conftool.pro/cerme12)) no later than ***21st October 2021***

TO: (group leader) …………………………………………………

Thematic Working Group Number and Title:……..……………………………………………………

Title of the paper proposal:…………………………………………………………………………………..

Name of the reviewer:……………………………………………….. E-mail address: ……………………….

YOUR REVIEW

Please comment in each of the following sections. In each section use as much space as required.

1. Focus and rationale of the paper

2. Theoretical framework and related literature

3. Methodology

3. Statement and discussion of results

4. Clarity

5. Relevance to this CERME TWG audience

6. Adherence of the CERME Template and APA-7 styles

## My recommendations for presentation at CERME12 are as follows (mark one):

1. ACCEPT for presentation without further modification
2. ACCEPT for presentation subject to modification as detailed below
3. REJECT but resubmit the paper as a poster
4. REJECT

Detailed reasons for the recommendation and general comments (e.g. suggestions for improvement, reasons for rejection/unsuitability):
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UPLOAD TO THE ConfTool SYSTEM ([www.conftool.pro/cerme12](http://www.conftool.pro/cerme12)) no later than ***21st October 2021***

TO: (group leader) …………………………………………………

Thematic Working Group Number and Title: ……..……………………………………………………

Title of the paper proposal:…………………………………………………………………………………..

Name of the reviewer:……………………………………… E-mail address: ……………………

YOUR REVIEW

Please comment in each of the following sections. In each section use as much space as required.

1. Focus and rationale of the paper

2. Theoretical or philosophical framework and related literature

3. Author’s position on the focus or theme

3. Implications for existing research in the area

4. Clarity

5. Relevance to this CERME TWG audience

6. Adherence of the CERME Template and APA-7 styles

## My recommendations for presentation at CERME12 are as follows (mark one):

1. ACCEPT for presentation without further modification
2. ACCEPT for presentation subject to modification as detailed below
3. REJECT but resubmit the paper as a poster
4. REJECT

Detailed reasons for the recommendation and general comments (e.g. suggestions for improvement, reasons for rejection/unsuitability):